Application No.: 09/615,844 Docket No.: S0255.0003/P003

REMARKS

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the Examiner's allowance of claim 1.

Claims 37 and 38 have been amended. No new matter has been included. Claims 1, 37 and 38 remain pending in this application.

Applicant's representative gratefully thanks the Examiner for his time and patience during the telephone conversations conducted on May 27 and May 31, 2005. During the calls, the Examiner expressed some concern regarding newly added claims 37 and 38. As a result of the discussions, Applicant's have amended claims 37 and 38 to address the concerns raised by the Examiner.

Claims 37 and 38 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Brandt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,125,384) ("Brandt"). The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 37 recites, *inter alia*, a method comprising the steps of "receiving data from a service provision unit corresponding to a data output process requested by a user; receiving an output job code from said service provision unit corresponding to the data output process; reading said data from an input-output control unit; and outputting the data associated with the output job code." Claim 38 recites similar limitations for a computer readable storage medium.

Applicant respectfully submits that Brandt fails to disclose the acts of "receiving data from a service provision unit corresponding to a data output process requested by a user; receiving an output job code from said service provision unit corresponding to the data output process; [and] reading said data from an input-output control unit." Brandt generally discloses a computer system for providing access to a software application from a web browser over the world-wide web. Brandt, however,

Application No.: 09/615,844 Docket No.: S0255.0003/P003

does not disclose or suggest the above limitations of the claimed invention. Brandt does not receive data from a service provision unit. Nor does Brandt read data from an input-output control unit.

Moreover, Applicant has amended claims 37 and 38 to include allowable subject matter as acknowledged by the Office Action. Therefore, this is another reason why Applicant believes that claims 37 and 38 are allowable.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn and the claims allowed.

In view of the above amendment, Applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: September 2, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Mark J. Thronson

Registration No.: 33,082

Gianni Minutoli

Registration No.: 41,198

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &

OSHINSKY LLP

2101 L Street NW

Washington, DC 20037-1526

(202) 785-9700

Attorneys for Applicant